

Application No.	Applicant(s)
00/754 792	
	SUBRAMANIAN, RAVI Art Unit
Phyona Phy	2631
rs on the cover sheet with the coors REMAINS) CLOSED in this appropriate communication of the subject to the su	orrespondence address
<u>n 6/23/05</u> .	
ne Examiner.	
peen received. Deen received in Application No	national stage application from the
	complying with the requirements
n's Patent Drawing Review(PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the C 4(c)) should be written on the drawi	Office action of ngs in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da), 7. ☒ Examiner's Amendo	tè .
	SHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308. In 6/23/05. The Examiner. Iter 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Deen received. Deen received in Application No Juments have been received in this If this communication to file a reply interest in the series of this application. Ited. Note the attached EXAMINER is reason(s) why the oath or declarate be submitted. In a submitted in the submitted in th

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 6/23/05.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ms Laura Brutman on 08/03/05.

The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-27 and 32-57 have been canceled.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 2. Claims 29-31 and 59-62 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- -Regarding to independent claim 29, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a receiver as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "a plurality of configurable digital coherent demodulator systems, which are arranged in parallel to one another, for feed forward phase correcting and processing multipath components of the filtered digital signal", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement either or combination of Wang and

Art Unit: 2631

Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 30, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a receiver as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "the at least one configurable digital coherent demodulator system comprises a configurable pilot channel parameter estimator for processing a signal based on the filtered digital signal and having an input for receiving a configurable accumulator length to allow the parameter estimator to be configured for a given user, application, and/or performance level", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement either or combination of Wang and Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 31, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a receiver as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "the at least one configurable digital coherent demodulator system comprises a configurable correction device having an input for receiving a configurable delay value which establishes an amount of delay between the configurable correction device receiving a sample of a demodulated signal, which is based on the filtered digital signal, and transmitting the sample", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement either or combination of Wang and Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 59, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method of processing data as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to Art Unit: 2631

teach the limitation "demodulating a user code sequence from the complex channel signal to produce code demodulated sample; and communicating the code demodulated sample to a plurality of configurable traffic demodulators", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement either or combination of Wang and Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 61, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method of processing data as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "feeding forward a digital phase correction signal, which is based on the complex channel signal, within each of a plurality of configurable coherent demodulator systems to respectively correct a phase error in each of a plurality of a demodulated multipath data signals, which are based on the complex channel signal", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement either or combination of Wang and Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 62, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method of processing data as claimed. Wang in view of Sklar, (both previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "receiving a configurable pilot filter length at a configurable pilot channel estimator portion provided within the configurable demodulator system; and generating a digital error correction signal, which is based on the complex channel signal, using an open loop phase estimator provided within the pilot channel estimator portion", in combinations with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to

Application/Control Number: 09/751,783

Art Unit: 2631

implement either or combination of Wang and Sklar in view of other prior art of record in order

Page 5

to lead such the implementation to the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phuong Phu

Phuong Pha Phuong Phu

08/03/05